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Figure: A mind map visualizing the topics in this thesis.
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## Definition

Let $G(z) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}[z]$ be a Goppa polynomial of degree $t:=\operatorname{deg} G(z)$ and the support $L=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$, such that $G(\alpha) \neq 0$, for all $\alpha \in L$. The Goppa code $\Gamma(L, G)$ is defined by:

$$
\Gamma(L, G):=\left\{c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n} \left\lvert\, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{c_{i}}{z-\alpha_{i}} \equiv 0 \bmod G(z)\right.\right\} .
$$
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## Theorem

Given a Goppa polynomial $G(z)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ of degree $t:=\operatorname{deg} G(z)$.

- If $G$ has no multiple zeros and
- the lowest degree perfect square $\bar{G}(z)$ that is divisible by $G(z)$ is $\bar{G}(z)=G(z)^{2}$,
then the Goppa code $\Gamma(L, G)$ has minimum distance $d \geq 2 t+1$.
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Algorithm 1: McEliece key generation
Input : $(k \times n)$ generator matrix $G$, error correcting capability $t$
Output: public key $\left(G^{\prime}, t\right)$, private key $(S, G, P)$
Choose a $(n \times n)$ permutation matrix P
Choose a regular binary $(k \times k)$-matrix $S$
Compute $(k \times n)$ matrix $G^{\prime}=S G P$
Algorithm 2: McEliece encryption
Input : message $m$, public key $\left(G^{\prime}, t\right)$ and thus implicitly $n, k$
Output: encrypted message $c$
Compute $c^{\prime}=m G^{\prime}$
Randomly generate a vector $z \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$,
with non-zero entries at $\leq t$ positions
Compute $c=c^{\prime}+z$, the cipher text block

## Cryptography: McEliece PKS

[^0]
## Example of code-based PKS: $n=8, m=3, q=2$.
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The degree $t=2$ Goppa polynomial $G(z)=z^{2}+z+1$ and the support $L=\left\{0,1, \beta, \beta^{2}, \beta+1, \beta^{2}+\beta, \beta^{2}+\beta+1, \beta^{2}+1\right\}$ yield an $[n=8, k=8-2 \cdot 3, d=2 \cdot 2+1]-\operatorname{code} \Gamma(L, G) \leq \mathbb{F}_{2}^{8}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{G o p p a} & =\left(\begin{array}{llllllll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
H_{\text {Goppa }} & =\left(\begin{array}{llllllll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$



RUB

## Example of code-based PKS: $n=8, m=3, q=2$.

## Example (Binary Goppa code $\Gamma(L, G)$ )

The degree $t=2$ Goppa polynomial $G(z)=z^{2}+z+1$ and the support $L=\left\{0,1, \beta, \beta^{2}, \beta+1, \beta^{2}+\beta, \beta^{2}+\beta+1, \beta^{2}+1\right\}$ yield an $[n=8, k=8-2 \cdot 3, d=2 \cdot 2+1]-\operatorname{code} \Gamma(L, G) \leq \mathbb{F}_{2}^{8}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{G o p p a} & =\left(\begin{array}{llllllll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
H_{G o p p a} & =\left(\begin{array}{llllllll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## McEliece PKS

$$
S \cdot G_{G o p p a} \cdot P=G_{p u b}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

## McEliece PKS

$S \cdot G_{G o p p a} \cdot P=G_{p u b}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$.
Example (McEliece)
Alice generates: $u=(0,1)$
Alice sends: $y=(1,0,0,0,1,1,1,0)$

## McEliece PKS

$S \cdot G_{G o p p a} \cdot P=G_{p u b}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$.

## Example (McEliece)

Alice generates: $u=(0,1)$
Alice sends: $y=(1,0,0,0,1,1,1,0)$
Bob receives: $y=(1,0,0,0,1,1,1,0)$
$\mathrm{y} * \mathrm{P} \wedge\{-1\}: y P=(0,0,1,0,0,1,1,1)$
Bob decodes yD: yD $=(0,0,1,1,1,1,1,1)$
scrambled information bits $\mathrm{mm}:(0,1)$
$m m * S^{\wedge}\{-1\}: y S=(0,1)$ The decryption was successful!
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## Example (Niederreiter)

Alice generates: $u=(0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1)$
Alice sends: $\quad y=(0,1,1,1,1,1)$
Bob receives: $y=(0,1,1,1,1,1)$
M~ $\{-1\} * y: y M=(0,1,1,1,0,0)$
Bob decodes xD: $x D=(1,0,0,0,0,1,0,0)$
$\mathrm{P}^{\wedge}\{-1\} * x D: x S=(0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1)$
The decryption was successful
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$$
|\psi\rangle=a_{0}|0\rangle+a_{1}|1\rangle, \quad\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}=1 .
$$

- Classical computer: 1 processor can be used repeating some calculation $\mathcal{O}\left(2^{n}\right)$ times to perform one gate operation on each of the $2^{n}$ values representable by $n$ bits.
- Quantum computer: $2^{n}$ values are representable using $n$ qubits. A quantum gate applied to these $n$ qubit takes $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time.
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## Quantum computing: Assumptions

| Assumption classically | False, quantum mechanically |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| A bit has a definite value. | A qubit after it is read. |
| A bit can only be 0 or 1. | Superposition of 0 and 1. |
| A bit can be copied without | Copying necessarily changes a <br> affecting its value. |
| qubit's quantum state. |  |
| fecting its value. | Reading a qubit in a superpo- <br> sition will change it. <br> Reading one bit has no affect |
| Entangled qubits: reading |  |
| on any other (unread) bit. | one qubit will affect the other. |

Table: Assumptions about bits that are not true at the quantum scale.
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- The discrete logarithm problem on elliptic curves (ECDLP) is affected, too - with an exponential speedup, where $N$ denotes the number of points on the elliptic curve:

$$
\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{N})=\mathcal{O}\left(e^{\frac{\log N}{2}}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { Shor }} \mathcal{O}\left((\log N)^{3}\right) .
$$

- McEliece and Niederreiter PKS are still unbroken, if based on binary Goppa codes. Generalizations of all known attacks seem unfeasible.
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Noteworthy remarks on the thesis after review

- Objective of this thesis was the combination of multiple scientific fields to a consistent text about uses of linear codes in cryptography. I chose and suggested the topic.
During implementation one "bleak spot" in the literature appeared - all 7 sources didn't make the maths behind one step in Patterson's Decoding Algorithm explicit.
I filled this "hole" for decoding Binary Goppa Codes and proved, implemented and demonstrated the functionality.
- Generating Chapter 3 from .tex source computes the examples on the fly with random input (by calling Sage) and checks validity displaying "True" (or "False") within the text! Thus I had trust in my implementation.
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[^0]:    Algorithm 3: McEliece decryption
    Input : encrypted message block $c$, private key $(S, G, P)$
    Output: message $m$
    Compute $\bar{c}=c P^{-1}$
    The decoding algorithm of the code $C$ corrects $t$ errors. $\bar{c} \rightarrow \bar{m}$.
    Compute $m=\bar{m} S^{-1}$, the clear text message block.
    // Precompute the matrices $P^{-1}$ and $S^{-1}$ once.

